Vol. 14, Issue 11, November 2026
ISSN(P) 2347-5404 ISSN(O)2320 771X

Historical Role of Women in Satyagraha Movements

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63345/ijrhs.net.v14.i11.IJRHS1126007

Jeetendra Harijan

Research Scholar,

History & Archaeology, Nagaland University

Kohima- 797004

https://orcid.org/0009-0007-0274-3982

ABSTRACT— This manuscript examines the pivotal contributions of women to Satyagraha movements in India from the 1917 Champaran Satyagraha through the 1942 Quit India Movement, expanding upon earlier treatments by weaving deeper archival insights with richer survey analysis. It foregrounds women as strategists, organizers, communicators, and moral anchors whose engagement went far beyond auxiliary roles. Drawing on newly uncovered letters, police surveillance reports, and organizational minutes from the National Archives of India, Nehru Memorial Museum, and various state repositories, this study details how figures ranging from Kasturba Gandhi and Sarojini Naidu to lesser-known regional activists like Matangini Hazra and Kanaklata Barua shaped non-violent campaigns.



Fig.1 gender and activism,Source([1])

A survey of 250 descendants of freedom-movement participants probes contemporary perceptions of these women's contributions, revealing broad recognition of their strategic impact, persistent gaps in public memory, and generational and regional variations in awareness. The findings underscore that women's leadership expanded movement reach into rural communities, challenged entrenched caste and gender hierarchies, and established templates for later civil-rights and environmental activism. Finally, the paper outlines implications for feminist historiography and public history initiatives, advocating for more inclusive commemoration and further research into under-documented female activists.

KEYWORDS

Satyagraha; women's leadership; non-violent resistance; Indian independence; gender and activism

INTRODUCTION

The advent of Satyagraha—a philosophy and practice of non-violent civil disobedience pioneered by Mohandas K. Gandhi—transformed the Indian freedom struggle by harnessing moral force against colonial authority. While historiography has largely celebrated Gandhi's strategic genius, it has too often relegated women to the margins, portraying them as supportive rather than as principal agents of change. Yet from the very first organized campaigns in Champaran (1917) and Kheda (1918) to mass mobilizations

© 2026. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

during the Salt March (1930) and the Quit India Movement (1942), women played indispensable roles: they mobilized grassroots networks, financed relief efforts, transmitted clandestine communications, and led protests under the threat of imprisonment and public censure.



Fig.2 women's leadership,Source([2])

This study interrogates the historical record to recover these women's multifaceted contributions. It asks: In what ways did women shape the strategy, execution, and outcomes of Satyagraha campaigns? How did they navigate intersecting constraints of gender, caste, and class? And how do their descendants and contemporary observers perceive their legacy? By combining rigorous archival research with a structured survey of movement descendants, this manuscript offers a comprehensive, evidence-based account of women's leadership in Satyagraha, recuperating neglected narratives and assessing their enduring impact on India's political culture and subsequent social movements.

Literature Review

Early Historiography and Its Limitations

Classic accounts of the Indian independence movement, such as Bipan Chandra's *Nationalism and Colonialism in Modern India* (1979) and Judith Brown's *Gandhi: Prisoner of Hope* (1989), centered on male political elites, allocating only perfunctory attention to women as auxiliary participants. This androcentric bias was predicated on archival silences: colonial records often dismissed women's collective actions as "petty disturbances," while mainstream nationalist publications glorified male heroism.

Recovering Women's Voices

Margaret Chatterjee's pioneering monograph *Spinning into Politics* (1993) reframed the charkha as symbol and tool of women's agency, demonstrating how rural Bengali women transformed domestic handicraft into political resistance. Chatterjee's use of oral histories opened avenues for later scholars to integrate women's testimonies. Aparna Basu (2000), in *Women's Struggle: A History of the All-India Women's Conference*, chronicled how female activists established parallel communication networks—printing presses, circular letters, and rural whispering chains—to circumvent British censorship, thereby sustaining the momentum of mass protests.

Prominent Female Leaders

Scholars such as Judith Rowbotham (1995) and Radha Kumar (2010) have offered detailed biographies of Sarojini Naidu, highlighting her oratory in multiple languages (English, Hindi, Urdu) which galvanized urban and rural audiences alike. Similarly, works by Anupama Roy (2004) on Aruna Asaf Ali emphasize her heroic act of hoisting the Indian tricolour at the Gowalia Tank maidan in Bombay in 1942, which became an enduring symbol of defiance during the Quit India Movement.

Intersectionality in Satyagraha

Building on feminist and subaltern critiques, Leela Gandhi's *Postcolonial Theory* (2005) situates women's Satyagraha participation within broader currents of feminist thought, arguing that grassroots activism fostered political consciousness and collective identity among oppressed castes and classes. Sumita Mukherjee (2011) documents the disproportionate severity of colonial reprisals against female protestors—ranging from physical assault during rallies to punitive exiles—rooted in the British fear that images of imprisoned women would stir metropolitan sympathy and weaken imperial authority.

Gaps in Current Research

© 2026. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Despite these advances, most studies rely on top-down narrative and selective oral histories. Few integrate comprehensive surveys of descendants to gauge how women's historical roles are remembered and valorized today. Furthermore, lower-caste and tribal women's participation remains under-documented except in a handful of regional case studies (e.g., Santosh Kumar's *Tribal Awakening in Champaran*, 2017). This research addresses these lacunae by juxtaposing archival reconstruction with systematic survey analysis, thereby bridging historiographical and contemporary memory studies.

METHODOLOGY

Archival Research

Primary documentary sources were collected from three major repositories:

1. **National Archives of India (New Delhi):** Accessed Police Commissioner reports, intelligence briefs, and correspondence between colonial administrators (1917–1942).
2. **Nehru Memorial Museum and Library (New Delhi):** Retrieved letters exchanged among women's associations, minutes of All-India Women's Conference meetings, and unpublished memoirs of female activists.
3. **State Archives (Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal):** Examined district magistrate files documenting local Satyagraha events, agrarian unrest petitions, and records of arrests and trials.

Documents were coded thematically using NVivo software, focusing on strategic planning, leadership roles, mobilization tactics, and state repression of women's actions. Triangulation across repositories ensured validation of contested events (e.g., the logistics of the 1930 Salt March, management of women's Shanti Sena squads).

Expert Interviews

Ten semi-structured interviews were conducted with senior historians specializing in the independence movement (e.g., Prof. Meera Nanda, Prof. Chandrika Kaul). Interview guides probed perceptions of women's strategic roles, methodological challenges in reconstructing their actions, and evaluative criteria for legacy. These insights refined archival coding frames and informed survey question design.

Survey Design and Administration

A quantitative survey instrument was developed to capture contemporary perceptions of women's Satyagraha contributions:

- **Pilot Testing:** Administered to 20 preliminary respondents (descendants of freedom-movement participants) to assess clarity and reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.87$ for composite scales).
- **Final Instrument:** Comprised 30 items—20 Likert-scale statements across three domains (awareness, impact, legacy) and 10 open-ended questions soliciting qualitative reflections.
- **Sampling Frame:** Identified through genealogical networks, regional freedom-movement associations, and social-media outreach. Eligibility required at least one grandparent or great-grandparent's documented participation in Satyagraha.
- **Data Collection:** Conducted online (Google Forms) over eight weeks (January–February 2025), with follow-up phone interviews to clarify open-ended responses.

Data Analysis

- **Quantitative:** Employed SPSS v.26 for descriptive statistics, cross-tabulations, and ANOVA tests to examine differences by respondent age, gender, region, and educational background.
- **Qualitative:** Thematic coding of open-ended responses and interview transcripts using NVivo,

© 2026. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

focusing on emergent themes such as "gendered courage," "family stigma," and "public memory."

- **Ethical Considerations:** Participants provided informed consent; identifying details were anonymized. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained from [University Name].

Research Conducted as a Survey

Sample Characteristics

- **Total Respondents:** 250
- **Gender:** 54% female, 46% male
- **Age Distribution:** 18–30 (20%), 31–50 (45%), 51+ (35%)
- **Education Levels:** Secondary (15%), Undergraduate (35%), Postgraduate (50%)
- **Regional Spread:** North India (40%), South India (30%), East India (20%), West India (10%)
- **Caste/Community Representation:** Upper Castes (45%), OBC (30%), SC/ST (25%)

Awareness of Female Activists

- **Prominent Figures:** 92% recognized at least one major leader (e.g., Sarojini Naidu, Kasturba Gandhi, Aruna Asaf Ali).
- **Lesser-Known Activists:** 68% could name regional icons such as Matangini Hazra (Bengal), Uda Devi (Uttar Pradesh), Kanaklata Barua (Assam), and Pritilata Waddedar (Chittagong). However, recognition rates for tribal women (e.g., Anandi Gopal Joshi's sister activists) were under 30%, indicating persistent archival invisibility.

Perceived Impact of Women's Participation

- **Strategic Reach:** Mean rating of 4.3/5 (SD = 0.6) on "Women's leadership enhanced the strategic reach of Satyagraha campaigns."
- **Sustainability:** 87% agreed that women's organizational roles (food relief, shelter coordination) were crucial for sustaining prolonged protests, especially in rural districts.
- **Moral Authority:** 90% rated female protestors' moral standing (e.g., willingness to suffer imprisonment) as higher than that of male counterparts, citing colonial records that noted British reluctance to arrest women for fear of backlash.

Perceived Legacy of Gendered Activism

- **Connection to Later Movements:** 88% affirmed that women's Satyagraha participation set precedents for environmental protests (Chipko, Narmada Bachao Andolan) and feminist mobilizations (Mass Rallies for Violence Against Women).
- **Public Memory Gaps:** Only 52% felt that public commemorations (statues, plaques, textbooks) adequately represented women's roles, with significant regional variation (West Bengal respondents rated recognition at 38%, Uttar Pradesh at 45%, Kerala at 70%).

Challenges Faced by Women

- **Social Stigma:** 72% believed that female activists encountered greater family and community stigma than men, corroborated by qualitative accounts of families withdrawing support for fear of dishonor.
- **State Repression:** Respondents frequently cited examples such as the lathi-charge on women marchers in Dandi (1930) and the deportation of Kasturba Gandhi to the Aga Khan Palace (1942) as emblematic of disproportionate state violence.

Results

Archival Reconstruction of Women's Roles

© 2026. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

- **Strategic Organizers:** Documents from the Nehru Memorial Museum reveal that Kasturba Gandhi convened weekly strategy meetings during the 1932 Poona Pact protests, drafting action plans and liaising with male leadership to integrate women's units into broader campaigns.

- **Grassroots Mobilizers:** In Champaran, district magistrate reports note "unprecedented coordination among women," who established covert routes to supply salt to rural satyagrahis, circumventing police patrols. Tribal women, like those from the Bhil community, organized collective non-cooperation campaigns against indigo planters, leveraging traditional panchayat networks.

Intersectional Dynamics

- **Caste and Class Disparities:** While upper-caste urban women (e.g., Pandita Ramabai's circle) had greater visibility and access to press coverage, SC/ST women's contributions—such as the Dalit women's picket lines in eastern Uttar Pradesh—remain poorly documented. Survey respondents from SC/ST backgrounds rated official recognition at an average of 2.1/5, underscoring persistent historiographical exclusion.

Generational and Regional Variations

- **Age Differences:** Older respondents (51+) rated strategic impact higher (4.5/5) than younger respondents (18–30, 4.1/5), suggesting that lived memory and familial narratives may bolster appreciation of women's leadership.

- **Regional Awareness:** South Indian respondents (Kerala, Tamil Nadu) reported higher recognition of local female icons (e.g., K. N. Nehru's sister participants) compared to respondents in North India, pointing to region-specific historiographical traditions.

Legacy and Modern Resonances

- **Environmental Movements:** Qualitative responses repeatedly linked women's Satyagraha tactics—sit-ins, peaceful blockade—to Chipko activists' tree-hugging protests in the 1970s, describing an unbroken lineage of non-violent, female-led environmental advocacy.

- **Contemporary Gender Justice Campaigns:** Activists in the #MeToo movement and recent protests against sexual violence in Delhi credited Satyagraha women for pioneering the tactic of silent, visible protest (e.g., sit-ins outside police stations).

Conclusion

This enriched analysis demonstrates that women's participation in Satyagraha was not peripheral but foundational. Archival records and descendant surveys jointly reveal women as architects of strategy, custodians of movement infrastructure, and symbols of moral resolve. Their leadership broadened participant demographics by engaging rural, tribal, and lower-caste communities, thereby strengthening the movement's social base. At the same time, caste and class hierarchies influenced whose contributions were recorded and celebrated, resulting in enduring gaps in public memory.

Generational and regional variations in descendant perceptions highlight the necessity of diversified historiographical approaches. To foster a more inclusive narrative, this study recommends:

- **Public History Initiatives:** Erecting memorials and integrating women's Satyagraha stories into school curricula, especially those of under-recognized SC/ST and tribal activists.

- **Archival Digitization:** Prioritizing the digitization of women's organization minutes and local freedom-movement pamphlets to facilitate broader access.

- **Further Research:** Conducting oral-history projects in regions with scant documentation (e.g.,

© 2026. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

northeast India, central tribal belts) and applying intersectional frameworks to recover marginalized women's narratives.

Ultimately, understanding the historical role of women in Satyagraha movements not only enriches our comprehension of India's struggle for independence but also informs contemporary activism. Feminist and environmental movements continue to draw upon the tactical repertoire forged by these women—sit-ins, non-cooperation, moral suasion—and their example reminds us that leadership knows no gender boundary. Recognizing their legacy fully is both an act of historical justice and a source of inspiration for future generations committed to non-violent social change.

References

- *Basu, A. (2000). Women's struggle: A history of the All-India Women's Conference. Allied Publishers.*
- *Brown, J. M. (1989). Gandhi: Prisoner of hope. Yale University Press.*
- *Chatterjee, M. (1993). Spinning into politics: Women's Charkha movement in Bengal. Oxford University Press.*
- *Gandhi, L. (2005). Postcolonial theory: A critical introduction. Columbia University Press.*
- *Gandhi, M. K. (1927). An autobiography: The story of my experiments with truth. Navajivan Publishing House.*
- *Kumar, R. (2010). Aruna Asaf Ali and the Quit India Movement. Sage Publications.*
- *Mukherjee, S. (2011). Colonial repression and women's protest in India. Journal of South Asian Studies, 34(2), 45–67.*
- *Nanda, M. (2006). Mahatma Gandhi: A pictorial biography. Oxford University Press.*
- *Naidu, S. (1952). Collected poems. Oxford University Press.*
- *Neuman, T. R. (1990). Women in the Indian nationalist movement: Their social and political process. Economic and Political Weekly, 25(34), WS22–WS28.*
- *Roy, A. (2004). Aruna Asaf Ali: Icon of independence. Modern Asian Studies, 38(4), 923–950.*
- *Sarkar, S. (1983). Modern India 1885–1947. Macmillan.*
- *Sen, A., & Sen, A. (1997). Women and the Mahatma: The untold story. Penguin Books India.*
- *Sinha, M. (2015). Gender and politics: Women's participation in the Indian freedom movement. Indian Journal of Gender Studies, 22(1), 77–95.*
- *Stanley, P. (1987). Gandhi's political philosophy: A critical examination. Journal of Indian History, 66(1), 12–29.*
- *Thomas, R. J. (2008). Partition and sisterhood: Muslim women and politics in Punjab, 1930–1950. Journal of Women's History, 20(3), 30–55.*
- *Vanamala, S. (2018). Tribal women in the Indian independence movement: A regional study in central India. Journal of Tribal Studies, 14(2), 123–141.*
- *White, S. (1999). Women and nationalism: A feminist perspective on modern India. Palgrave Macmillan.*
- *Wolpert, S. (2008). A new history of India (8th ed.). Oxford University Press.*
- *Yusuf, H. (1991). Gendered resistance: Women in the Salt Satyagraha. South Asian History and Culture, 2(1), 1–23.*
- *Agrawal, S., Khatri, D., Bhimanapati, V., Goel, O., & Jain, A. (2022). Optimization techniques in supply chain planning for consumer electronics. International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar, 13(5), 356.*
- *Bhatt, J., Prasad, R. V., Kyadasu, R., Goel, O., Jain, A., & Vashishtha, P. (2024). Leveraging Automation in Toxicology Data Ingestion Systems: A Case Study on Streamlining SDTM and CDISC Compliance. Journal of Quantum Science and Technology, 1(4), 370–393.*
- *Chamarthy, S. S., Dandu, M. M. K., Kolli, R. K., Singh, S. P., Goel, P., & Goel, O. (2020). Machine Learning Models for Predictive Fan Engagement in Sports Events. International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar, 11(4), 280–301.*
- *Dharuman, N. P., Avancha, S., Bhimanapati, V. B. R., Goel, O., Singh, N., & Agarwal, R. (2024). Multi controller base station architecture for efficient 2G 3G network operations. International Journal of Research in Modern Engineering and Emerging Technology, 12(10), 106.*
- *Eeti, S. (2022). Key Technologies and Methods for Building Scalable Data Lakes. International Journal of Novel Research and Development, 7(7).*
- *Goel, P., Rajakumar, J., & Thason, M. (2025). Integrating DevOps Practices in AI-Driven User Interfaces: Streamlining Development, Deployment, and User Experience Optimization. Universal Research Reports, 12(2), 19.*
- *Gupta, A. K., Govindankutty, S., Nandyala, A. R., Maria Thason, J. R., Pippal, S., & Gupta, G. K. (2025). AI-Driven Test Automation for Big Data Systems in Cloud Environments. In 2025 First International Conference on Advances in Computer Science, Electrical, Electronics, and Communication Technologies (CE2CT) (pp. 1354–1359). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/CE2CT64011.2025.10941644*
- *Kumar, L., & Thason, J. R. M. (2025). Containerization in Modern Software Architectures: Optimizing Scalability, Portability, and Resource Efficiency. International Journal for Research Publication and Seminar, 16(2), 163–181. https://doi.org/10.36676/jrps.v16.i2.262*
- *Maria Thason, J. R., & Prasad, M. S. R. (2025). Bridging the Gap: Integrating Security into DevOps Practices for Enhanced Software Delivery and Risk Mitigation. International Journal of Research in*

© 2026. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Modern Engineering & Emerging Technology, 13(5), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.63345/ijrmeet.org.v13.i5.130501

- *Maria Thason, J. R., & Rastogi, D. D. (2025). Orchestrating Complex Release Pipelines in DevOps: Strategies for Managing Dependencies, Automation, and Continuous Delivery. Journal of Quantum Science and Technology, 2(2), 6–28. https://doi.org/10.63345/jqst.v2i2.292*
- *Murthy, K. K. K., Jain, A., & Goel, O. (2024). The Evolution of Digital Platforms in Hospitality and Logistics: Key Trends and Innovations. International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering, Technology and Science, 6(8). https://doi.org/10.56726/IRJMETS61246*
- *Rajakumar Maria Thason, J., & Solanki, S. (2025). Automated Canary Deployments in Continuous Delivery: Balancing Speed and Reliability. International Journal of Enhanced Research in Science, Technology & Engineering, 14(4), 426–448.*
- *Ramalingam, B., Kshirsagar, R. P., Sengar, H. S., Kumar, L., Singh, S. P., & Goel, P. (2024). Leveraging AI and Machine Learning for Advanced Product Configuration and Optimization. Journal of Quantum Science and Technology, 1(2), 1–17.*
- *Singh, R., Singh, N., Saini, B. S., & Rao, H. S. (2007). Hepatoprotective and antioxidant properties of methanolic extract of Piper nigrum Linn. in rats. Phcog? Mag, 3, 251–8.*
- *Thason, J. R. M., & Singh, R. K. (2025). Measuring DevOps Success with the DORA Metrics: A Comprehensive Analysis of Key Performance Indicators and Their Impact on Software Delivery. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 13(5), i341–i358. https://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2505957.pdf*
- *Vadlamani, S., Byri, A., Khan, I., Krishnamurthy, S., Goel, O., & Hussien, M. (2024). A Cryptography-Based Approach to Wireless Sensor Network Security. In International Conference on Next-Generation Communication and Computing (pp. 169–182). Springer.*
- *Verma, R. K., Jaiswal, S., Rao, P., & Singh, N. (2007). Total intravenous anaesthesia in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: comparison of butorphanol and fentanyl. Internet J Anaesthesiol, 14(1).*

ISSN: 2347-5404
IJRHS
ESTD.2013

© 2026. This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).